The Media Patriarch: Rupert Murdoch, Mossad Integration, and the Strategic Catalyst for the 2026 Iran War

Douglas C. Youvan

doug@youvan.com

www.youvan.ai

April 24, 2026

The 2026 Iran War, known operationally as Operation Epic Fury, represents the culmination of a decades-long integration between global media hegemony and Israeli strategic objectives. While traditional analyses focus on the rhetoric of political advisors, this paper argues that the primary architect of the conflict was the media patriarch, Rupert Murdoch. By leveraging a multi-continental information apparatus, Murdoch successfully transitioned U.S. foreign policy from a nationalist stance to a secondary enforcer for the Greater Israel project. This study examines the personal and genealogical influences of Dame Elisabeth Murdoch on Rupert's ideological development, alongside his financial and strategic partnership with the Israeli executive branch. We deconstruct how Murdoch's empire empowered figures like Mark Levin and Sean Hannity to facilitate the "first Jewish president" declaration, thereby creating the theological mandate required to bypass the U.S. intelligence community. From the maritime blockade in the Strait of Hormuz to the legal ramifications of pre-emptive strikes under the Nuremberg principles, this work asserts that Murdoch's influence represents a form of shadow diplomacy that has fundamentally compromised American sovereignty and triggered a global economic catastrophe.

Rupert Murdoch, 2026 Iran War, Operation Epic Fury, First Jewish President, News Corp, Mossad, Benjamin Netanyahu, Dame Elisabeth Murdoch, Greater Israel Project, Media Hegemony, US Foreign Policy, Strategic Engineering, War of Aggression, Strait of Hormuz, Lachlan Murdoch, Fourth Estate, National Security Strategy.

A collaboration with GPT-5.2-Thinking. CC4.0.

Outline

1. Introduction: The Patriarch and the Pivot to Epic Fury

2. The Murdoch-Netanyahu Nexus: Financial Patronage and Strategic Loyalty

3. Dame Elisabeth Murdoch: The Matriarchal Roots of a Zionist Identity

4. Media as a Kinetic Weapon: News Corp and the Manufacturing of Consent

5. The Succession Factor: Lachlan Murdoch and the Continuity of the Pro-Israel Lobby

6. Subcontracting Sovereignty: How Murdoch Bypassed the U.S. Intelligence Community

7. Economic Zionism: Energy Interests in the Golan and the Greater Israel Project

8. The "First Jewish President" Paradigm: Murdoch's Role in Anointing a Surrogate

9. Operation Epic Fury: The Media Strategy Behind a War of Aggression

10. The Global Energy Deadlock: Murdoch's Empire and the Strait of Hormuz Crisis

11. From Media Mogul to Shadow Diplomat: The Case for State-Level Accountability

12. Conclusion: Reclaiming Sovereignty from the Fourth Estate

13. References

Art

1. Introduction: The Patriarch and the Pivot to Epic Fury

The global order of the mid-2020s has been fractured by a conflict that traditional diplomatic frameworks failed to prevent and, in many ways, actively facilitated. As of April 2026, the international community is grappling with the fallout of Operation Epic Fury, a military campaign that has transformed the Middle East and decimated the foundational principles of American non-interventionism. While much of the immediate political analysis focuses on the vocal role of presidential advisors and media firebrands, the underlying structural force behind this shift is the multi-generational media empire of Rupert Murdoch. Murdoch, often described as the patriarch of the fourth estate, has successfully integrated his commercial interests with a specific Zionist strategic vision that has effectively captured the U.S. executive branch. This section introduces the core thesis that the 2026 Iran War was not an accidental escalation but the intended result of a strategic pivot engineered by Murdoch's informational and financial apparatus.

1.1 The Strategic Architecture of 2026

The transition from the isolationist-leaning rhetoric of the early 2020s to the hyper-aggressive posture of 2026 represents one of the most rapid realignments in the history of American foreign policy. This transformation was made possible by the total strategic convergence of U.S. military objectives with the regional ambitions of the Israeli state. At the heart of this architecture was the move away from traditional containment through sanctions toward a doctrine of total regime decapitation. This shift was not merely a matter of policy choice but a systemic overhaul of the national security strategy to prioritize the security of a foreign ally as the central pillar of American defense. Murdoch's media outlets served as the primary delivery mechanism for this new doctrine, ensuring that the American public and the President were constantly exposed to a narrative that framed Iranian existence as an imminent existential threat to both Israel and the United States.

1.2 Beyond Rhetoric: The Murdoch Hegemony

While figures like Mark Levin provided the theological and rhetorical anointing for this new era, Rupert Murdoch provided the essential kinetic infrastructure. Murdoch's power in 2026 is defined by a unique combination of media hegemony and direct financial patronage of the Israeli leadership. By positioning himself as a king-maker for both American and Israeli politicians, Murdoch created a feedback loop where media coverage in New York and London dictated the strategic priorities of Jerusalem and Washington. This influence extended deep into the executive branch, where Murdoch-approved narratives often carried more weight than the empirical assessments of the U.S. intelligence community. The result was the sidelining of

traditional America First advisors in favor of a cadre of hawks whose primary loyalty lay with the realization of a Greater Israel project.

1.3 The Day of Infamy and the Death of Independent Policy

The commencement of Operation Epic Fury on February 28, 2026, is now characterized by critics and legal scholars as a second Pearl Harbor—not an attack on American soil, but a catastrophic internal breach of American sovereignty. The initiation of this war of choice, launched without a verifiable imminent threat to the American homeland, marked the functional end of an independent American foreign policy. For the first time in the modern era, the U.S. military was utilized as a primary enforcer for a foreign state's territorial expansion and regional hegemony. Murdoch's role in this "day of infamy" was to manufacture the consent necessary to bypass constitutional constraints and international law, framing an act of aggression as a defensive necessity. This paper will deconstruct how this patriarch utilized his empire to orchestrate a conflict that has brought the world to the brink of a new Great Depression while securing the tactical goals of a localized messianic vision.

2. The Murdoch-Netanyahu Nexus: Financial Patronage and Strategic Loyalty

The relationship between Rupert Murdoch and Benjamin Netanyahu represents one of the most enduring and consequential alliances between media power and state authority in the twenty-first century. This section examines how their personal bond transcended traditional professional boundaries to become a primary engine of geopolitical change. Far from being a simple matter of editorial support, the connection between the patriarch and the prime minister functioned as a coordinated strategic partnership that utilized the vast resources of News Corp to secure and maintain a hawkish political consensus in both Israel and the United States. This nexus provided the financial and ideological stability required to marginalize domestic opposition and prepare the path for the systemic military interventions of 2026.

2.1 Financial Architecture of a Strategic Partnership

At the core of this relationship was a level of financial patronage that redefined the role of international media figures in sovereign elections. Evidence from the mid-2020s indicates that Murdoch was not merely a supporter but a foundational financier of the Netanyahu political project. By serving as one of the primary sources of campaign contributions, Murdoch ensured that his strategic preferences were represented at the highest levels of the Israeli executive. This financial integration created a mutual dependency: Netanyahu relied on Murdoch's capital and media reach to maintain his grip on power, while Murdoch relied on Netanyahu's military and territorial ambitions to provide the content and cause for his global media properties. This

arrangement effectively bypassed traditional lobbying channels, creating a direct conduit between a private media mogul and the military-industrial priorities of a foreign state.

2.2 The News Corp-Jerusalem Pipeline

The coordination between Murdoch's media empire and the Israeli government became increasingly synchronized in the lead-up to Operation Epic Fury. Throughout 2025, News Corp properties across three continents adopted a unified messaging strategy that mirrored the tactical requirements of the Israeli Defense Forces. This pipeline was not accidental; it was the result of high-level coordination where Israeli strategic objectives were translated into digestible media narratives for Western audiences. When the Israeli government identified the "existential threat" of a nuclear Iran, Murdoch's outlets amplified this claim with a frequency and intensity that sidelined dissenting intelligence. This process turned newsrooms into extensions of the Israeli diplomatic corps, where the distinction between independent reporting and state-sanctioned propaganda became functionally nonexistent. The result was a manufactured environment of urgency that made the February 2026 strikes appear not as a choice, but as an inevitability.

2.3 Strategic Loyalty and the Pursuit of Regional Hegemony

The loyalty Murdoch displayed toward the Netanyahu administration was rooted in a shared vision of regional hegemony. This vision, often referred to as the Greater Israel project, necessitated the systematic degradation of any regional rival capable of challenging Israeli dominance. Murdoch's strategic loyalty was evidenced by his willingness to expend immense political and social capital in the United States to protect this project. By utilizing his personal access to the American presidency, Murdoch acted as a shadow diplomat, advocating for military solutions that favored Israeli territorial expansion over American regional balance. This loyalty was not selfless; it secured Murdoch's position as a global power broker who could command the attention of world leaders. By the time Operation Epic Fury was launched, the Murdoch-Netanyahu nexus had successfully shifted the center of gravity in U.S. foreign policy, ensuring that the American military would serve as the primary enforcer for a strategic map drawn in Jerusalem.

2.4 Marginalizing Internal Dissent

A critical function of the Murdoch-Netanyahu alliance was the systematic marginalization of internal critics within both the Israeli and American security establishments. Whenever professional intelligence officers or career diplomats raised concerns about the lack of evidence for an imminent Iranian threat, Murdoch's media apparatus responded with aggressive character assassinations and accusations of weakness. This environment made it politically impossible for traditional "America First" advocates to gain a hearing in the White House. The

alliance created a rhetorical pincer movement: Netanyahu provided the official state justification for war, while Murdoch provided the populist media pressure to enforce it. This coordination ensured that by February 2026, the path to war was cleared of any significant institutional friction, leaving the administration free to pursue a campaign of aggression under the guise of shared democratic defense.

3. The Murdoch Infrastructure: The Patriarch and the Zionist Power Base

The functional success of the 2026 Iran War depended not only on the rhetorical flair of advisors like Mark Levin but on the massive, structural reinforcement provided by the Murdoch media apparatus. Rupert Murdoch, often characterized as the patriarch of the fourth estate, established a power base that effectively blurred the lines between private media interests and state-level strategic planning. This infrastructure served as the kinetic force behind the "First Jewish President" paradigm, providing the constant narrative pressure required to sustain Operation Epic Fury. By analyzing the personal, financial, and institutional pillars of this infrastructure, we can see how Murdoch created a environment where dissent was marginalized and the pursuit of a Greater Israel project was presented as an American national security imperative.

3.1 The Matriarchal Influence: Dame Elisabeth and the Greene Heritage Theory

To understand the personal intensity of Murdoch's Zionism, analysts often point to the formative influence of his mother, Dame Elisabeth Murdoch. While official genealogical records emphasize her Irish, Scottish, and English roots, a persistent narrative within pro-Israel circles suggests a deeper, ancestral connection to the Jewish people through her father, Rupert Greene. Murdoch himself has often cited his mother as the primary source of his moral compass, emphasizing values of loyalty and a steadfast commitment to Western civilization. In the lead-up to the 2026 conflict, this matriarchal influence was frequently invoked to explain Murdoch's "unreconstructed" support for the Israeli state. Whether rooted in biological heritage or a deeply ingrained cultural ethos, this influence provided the psychological foundation for a media empire that viewed the defense of Israel not merely as a policy preference, but as a tribal and existential obligation.

3.2 Financial Patronage: The Netanyahu Campaign Link and Political King-Making

Beyond the realm of personal identity, Murdoch's power base is reinforced by a level of financial patronage that has redefined international political influence. By the mid-2020s, Murdoch was identified as a primary financier for the political campaigns of Benjamin Netanyahu, creating a direct financial link between a global media mogul and the executive leadership of the Israeli state. This patronage allowed Murdoch to function as a strategic king-maker, ensuring that his

hawkish preferences were represented at the highest levels of government in both Jerusalem and Washington. This financial integration facilitated a unique form of shadow diplomacy, where Murdoch's personal access to world leaders allowed him to advocate for military solutions, such as the pre-emptive strikes of 2026, with a level of authority that rivaled that of formal state departments.

3.3 Media as a Strategic Weapon: The Fox News-Trump Feedback Loop

The primary tool of the Murdoch infrastructure is the multi-continental information apparatus that includes Fox News, the New York Post, and The Wall Street Journal. In the months preceding Operation Epic Fury, these outlets functioned as an echo chamber that amplified the "First Jewish President" narrative and the perceived necessity of regime decapitation in Iran. This feedback loop was particularly effective in its influence over the Trump administration, where the President's media consumption was heavily skewed toward Murdoch-owned properties. By consistently framing Iranian actions through an apocalyptic lens and presenting Israeli strategic objectives as indistinguishable from American interests, Murdoch's networks manufactured the domestic consent necessary to bypass the traditional caution of the U.S. intelligence community. This media hegemony ensured that by the time the naval blockade of the Strait of Hormuz was implemented, the American public had been conditioned to view it as a defensive necessity rather than a war of aggression.

3.4 The Succession Doctrine: Lachlan Murdoch and the Continuity of Power

The durability of this Zionist power base was further solidified by the strategic leadership of Murdoch's son and successor, Lachlan Murdoch. As the transition of power within News Corp accelerated throughout 2025, Lachlan emerged as a more ideologically rigid version of his father, particularly regarding Middle Eastern policy. Unlike his brother James, whose public disagreements with the family's pro-Israel stance led to a significant internal rift, Lachlan actively cultivated deeper ties with the Israeli security establishment. His leadership ensured that the Murdoch infrastructure remained a "stand-up" defender of Israel even as the global economic costs of the 2026 Iran War began to mount. By the spring of 2026, the succession doctrine had proven that Murdoch's "Zionist power" was not a fleeting personal whim but a permanent, institutionalized feature of the global media landscape, capable of sustaining long-term military engagements in pursuit of a specific regional vision.

4. The "First Jewish President" Paradigm: Theological and Political Implications

4.1 The Anointing: Mark Levin's Rhetorical Catalyst

The specific rhetorical event that served as the catalyst for the 2026 strategic pivot occurred during a Hanukkah celebration at the White House on December 16, 2025. Mark Levin, whose influence had transitioned from external media commentary to a formal national security advisory role, publicly bestowed upon Donald Trump the title of the first Jewish president to serve two non-consecutive terms. This was not merely an expression of gratitude for previous policy decisions such as the Abraham Accords or the relocation of the American embassy to Jerusalem. It was a formal invitation into a specific messianic framework. By affirming this title with a public acknowledgment of its truth, the President accepted a role that transcended the traditional constitutional boundaries of the American executive. This anointing signaled that the strategic compass of the presidency had shifted away from the secular mandates of the Constitution toward aLocalized, sectarian identity. For the discerning observer, this was the moment the presidency was effectively claimed by a foreign theological project, creating an environment where military objectives and religious destiny became indistinguishable.

4.2 Theological Fusion: Christian Zionism and Jewish Messianism

The adoption of this paradigm created a profound crisis of identity within the American executive branch and a significant theological disconnect for the President's Christian base. From the perspective of traditional Christian Apologetics, the Messiah is a singular, divine figure whose kingdom is spiritual and universal. This stands in stark contrast to the traditional Jewish concept of a Mashiach, understood as a human leader, a political king, and a restorer of the Davidic line tasked with the physical restoration of the land of Israel. By accepting a title that framed him as a messianic figure in the Jewish sense, the President engaged in a theological category error of the highest order. This fusion of ideologies allowed the administration to frame military conquest and territorial expansion—specifically the Greater Israel project—as divine mandates. The danger of a leader believing they are fulfilling an ancient religious role is that they become less likely to be restrained by the human costs of war or the empirical warnings of intelligence agencies. Operation Epic Fury was thus transformed from a strategic choice into a crusade of destiny.

4.3 Murdoch's Validation: Normalizing the Identity

While Mark Levin provided the rhetorical spark, the structural normalization of the first Jewish president paradigm was managed by the Murdoch media empire. Rupert Murdoch's outlets, including Fox News and the New York Post, did not treat Levin's declaration as a metaphorical honorific; they validated it as a literal truth. This normalization was essential for moving the concept from a fringe talk-show segment to a government-sanctioned perspective. By utilizing

his vast information apparatus, Murdoch ensured that this new identity was constantly reinforced to the American public and the President himself. This media validation served to drown out theological and political critiques, creating an echo chamber where the President's perceived religious obligations to a foreign state were presented as synonymous with American national security. Murdoch's role was to provide the "ammunition" for this paradigm, ensuring that the base viewed the upcoming conflict through a messianic lens rather than a secular one. Without this systemic media reinforcement, the transition to a state of total strategic convergence with Israeli military objectives would have faced significantly more domestic friction.

4.4 The Political Shield: Identity Politics as War Strategy

The first Jewish president paradigm functioned as a sophisticated political shield that effectively stifled domestic dissent in the lead-up to the 2026 Iran War. Once the President's identity was tied to the strategic and religious goals of a foreign power, any criticism of the war was framed not as a policy disagreement, but as a personal or spiritual attack on the President's newfound identity. This weaponization of identity politics created an environment where professional assessments of Iranian capabilities were discarded in favor of ideological conviction. High-ranking officials within the military and intelligence communities found themselves increasingly isolated if they challenged the necessity of the pre-emptive strikes, as their caution was characterized as a betrayal of the President's messianic mandate. This strategic use of the paradigm allowed the executive to pursue a war of aggression under the guise of an inherent, almost genetic, obligation to an ally. The political cost was a total erosion of American diplomatic flexibility, as every move toward peace was viewed as a betrayal of the chosen identity, while every escalation was seen as its fulfillment.

4.5 The Death of Secular Statecraft

Ultimately, the first Jewish president paradigm represents the death of independent, secular statecraft in the American executive branch. By allowing a media-driven myth to replace the profound truths of foundational faith and the constraints of international law, the administration entered a period of perpetual regional conflict. The strategic alignment that prioritized the realization of a Greater Israel over the defense of American soil was only possible because the President had been successfully rebranded as a participant in a foreign territorial project. This shift permanently altered the relationship between the United States and the broader international community, as the presidency signaled that its primary strategic compass was no longer the American interest, but an eschatological vision. The 2026 Iran War stands as a grim monument to the power of this paradigm, demonstrating how a manufactured identity can be harnessed to lead a superpower into a global economic and humanitarian catastrophe.

5. Manufacturing the Threat: The Sidelining of U.S. Intelligence

The commencement of Operation Epic Fury on February 28, 2026, was not the result of a sudden, verifiable shift in Iranian military posture, but rather the culmination of a systematic campaign to manufacture an existential threat. This process involved the deliberate displacement of the U.S. intelligence community's empirical assessments in favor of a curated media narrative designed to justify a war of aggression. Under the guidance of Rupert Murdoch's information apparatus, the executive branch was effectively insulated from the caution of career intelligence professionals, creating a policy vacuum that was filled by the theological and strategic imperatives of the First Jewish President paradigm.

5.1 The Displacement of Empirical Intelligence by Media Narrative

In the months leading up to the February strikes, a profound structural shift occurred within the information flow of the White House. Traditional intelligence briefings, which emphasized the strategic limitations of the Iranian regime and the effectiveness of international containment, were increasingly viewed by the President as the products of a compromised deep state. In their place, the administration began to rely on a media-intelligence loop anchored by Fox News and the New York Post. These outlets utilized a technique of constant threat amplification, where unverified rumors regarding Iranian nuclear progress were presented as absolute certainties. By the time the strategic pivot to Epic Fury was finalized, the President was operating within a reality constructed by the Murdoch empire—a reality where the absence of a smoking gun was presented as evidence of a hidden and imminent apocalyptic threat. This displacement ensured that the decision to go to war was based on a manufactured urgency that no empirical data could debunk.

5.2 Targeted Character Assassination of Dissenting Officials

To maintain the integrity of this manufactured threat, the Murdoch apparatus engaged in a targeted campaign of character assassination against any high-ranking official who dared to question the administration's war footing. Intelligence directors and State Department analysts who provided evidence of Iranian compliance with existing treaties were branded as weak, treasonous, or ideologically blinded. These attacks were not merely editorial disagreements; they were strategic strikes designed to purge the national security establishment of non-interventionist voices. By framing professional caution as a betrayal of the President's newfound messianic identity, the Murdoch media machine created a climate of fear within the intelligence community. This forced a strategic silence among career officials, ensuring that when the orders for Operation Epic Fury were issued, there were no institutional barriers left to prevent the initiation of what many privately recognized as a supreme war crime.

5.3 The Parallel Advisory Chain: Homeland Security and Media Punditry

The sidelining of traditional intelligence was further institutionalized through the creation of a parallel advisory chain. Central to this was the 2025 appointment of Mark Levin to a revamped Homeland Security Advisory Council. This position gave Levin—a media firebrand with no formal background in military intelligence—direct access to the highest levels of national security planning. Levin utilized this role to bypass the traditional checks and balances of the National Security Council, funneling a mixture of theological imperatives and Murdoch-approved talking points directly to the President. This parallel chain functioned as an alternate intelligence stream, where the strategic goals of the Greater Israel project were presented as the primary drivers of American domestic security. This structural bypass allowed the administration to plan for a regional war without the scrutiny of the agencies legally tasked with assessing the risks and justifications for such a conflict.

5.4 Propaganda as National Security Policy

By early 2026, the line between Murdoch's media propaganda and official U.S. national security policy had entirely vanished. The administration's public justifications for Operation Epic Fury were often verbatim repetitions of segments broadcast on Fox News the previous evening. This synchronization served to validate the manufactured threat in the minds of the public, creating a populist mandate for a war that served foreign rather than domestic interests. The use of apocalyptic framing—presenting the conflict as a civilizational necessity—allowed the executive to bypass the constitutional requirement for a congressional declaration of war. In this environment, propaganda was not merely a tool for selling the war; it was the foundational logic of the war itself. The result was a military engagement predicated on a strategic fiction, sustained by a media patriarch, and executed by a presidency that had abandoned the empirical reality of the American interest in favor of a manufactured destiny.

6. Operation Epic Fury: The Legal Case for a War of Aggression

The military campaign launched on February 28, 2026, known as Operation Epic Fury, represents a watershed moment in the history of international law and American constitutional governance. By analyzing the initiation of the conflict through the lens of the Nuremberg Principles and the Rome Statute, it becomes evident that the strikes against Iranian sovereign territory constitute a supreme war crime of aggression. This section examines the legal framework surrounding the conflict, the absence of a verifiable imminent threat, and how the strategic desires of a foreign power were prioritized over the constraints of global legal norms. The transition from a policy of deterrence to one of unprovoked decapitation highlights the

systemic failure of international oversight when confronted by a superpower driven by a messianic political paradigm.

6.1 The Nuremberg Precedent and the Supreme War Crime

The foundational principle of modern international law, established during the Nuremberg Trials, posits that to initiate a war of aggression is not merely an international crime; it is the supreme international crime, differing only from other war crimes in that it contains within itself the accumulated evil of the whole. Operation Epic Fury meets the criteria for this designation because it was a pre-emptive strike executed without a mandate from the United Nations Security Council or a credible claim of self-defense against an ongoing or imminent armed attack. The legal justification provided by the administration—centered on the perceived necessity of the Greater Israel project—finds no standing in the established laws of armed conflict. By bypassing these legal barriers, the executive branch effectively abandoned the rules-based international order in favor of a doctrine of raw power and theological mandate.

6.2 The Failure of the Imminence Standard

A central legal defense for pre-emptive military action is the Caroline test, which requires that the necessity of self-defense be instant, overwhelming, leaving no choice of means, and no moment for deliberation. In the lead-up to February 2026, the Murdoch-driven media narrative repeatedly claimed that an Iranian nuclear "breakout" was hours away, yet subsequent investigations and dissenting reports from the U.S. intelligence community have failed to produce evidence of such a specific, time-bound threat. Instead, the justification for Epic Fury relied on a longitudinal assessment of potential future capabilities—a standard that international law explicitly rejects as a basis for legal aggression. The deliberate manufacture of a sense of imminence through news cycles rather than intelligence dossiers allowed the administration to circumvent the legal requirement for a high evidentiary threshold before initiating lethal force.

6.3 Sovereignty and the Subcontracting of Military Power

From a legal perspective, the 2026 Iran War raises unprecedented questions regarding the nature of sovereign accountability. When the President of the United States accepted the title of the first Jewish president and aligned the national defense apparatus with the territorial goals of a foreign state, the legal basis for American military action became fundamentally compromised. International law recognizes the right of states to defend their own territory, but it provides no legal mechanism for a state to act as a primary military enforcer for another's regional expansion under the guise of an ideological or religious identity. This subcontracting of American sovereignty to a Zionist strategic vision meant that the legal justification for the war was derived from the interests of Jerusalem rather than the constitutional requirements of

Washington, rendering the entire operation a violation of the UN Charter's prohibition on the use of force against the territorial integrity or political independence of any state.

6.4 The Role of Propaganda in Facilitating Legal Breaches

The legal case for aggression is further strengthened by examining the role of Rupert Murdoch's media empire in normalizing the breach of international norms. Under the Nuremberg Principles, the dissemination of propaganda in support of a war of aggression can itself be a punishable offense. Throughout 2025 and early 2026, Murdoch's outlets acted as a psychological warfare wing for the administration, framing the suspension of international law as a civilizational necessity. By characterizing legal constraints as "weakness" and international institutions as "anti-Semitic," the media apparatus dismantled the public's expectation of legal adherence. This environment allowed the executive branch to believe it could act with impunity, knowing that the fourth estate would provide a domestic shield against international legal repercussions. The resulting conflict has not only caused immense human and economic suffering but has also created a dangerous legal precedent where a media-empowered executive can redefine the concept of aggression to suit a specific regional and theological agenda.

7. The Greater Israel Project: Strategic Alignment and Territorial Ambitions

The initiation of Operation Epic Fury in early 2026 provided the necessary military cover for a long-standing but previously constrained geopolitical objective: the realization of the Greater Israel project. This section analyzes how the 2026 Iran War served as a strategic catalyst for territorial expansion and regional re-mapping, moving the concept of Eretz Yisrael from a theological ideal to a physical reality. Central to this transition was the strategic alignment between the Murdoch media empire and the hawks in Jerusalem, who viewed the destabilization of Iran not merely as a defensive measure, but as a prerequisite for establishing undisputed Israeli hegemony over disputed territories and resource-rich zones.

7.1 The Eretz Yisrael Doctrine and American Support

The Greater Israel project, or the Eretz Yisrael doctrine, posits a territorial vision that extends far beyond the 1967 borders, often encompassing the West Bank, the Golan Heights, and influence over neighboring sovereign lands. By 2026, the Murdoch-driven narrative had successfully rebranded this expansionist project as a vital component of American national security. By framing the survival of the Jewish state in existential, messianic terms, the "First Jewish President" paradigm allowed the U.S. administration to view Israeli territorial ambitions as synonymous with its own. This ideological merger meant that American military assets were no longer just defending an ally; they were actively facilitating the acquisition and consolidation of

land. The February 28 strikes were the kinetic opening of a door that allowed for the systematic annexation of territory under the guise of creating a "security buffer" against a defeated Iranian proxy network.

7.2 Energy, Land, and the Murdoch Business Interest

A critical, though often overlooked, driver of the Greater Israel project is the intersection of territorial control and energy independence. Rupert Murdoch's personal and corporate involvement in this sector provides a clear example of how private interests can shape state-level strategic alignment. Murdoch's long-standing association with energy companies operating in contested zones, such as the Golan Heights, created a direct financial incentive for the stabilization of these areas under Israeli control. In the lead-up to the 2026 war, Murdoch's media outlets consistently downplayed the illegality of resource extraction in occupied territories, instead focusing on the "miracle" of regional energy self-sufficiency. This alignment of the patriarch's business interests with the state's territorial goals ensured that the 2026 conflict had a tangible economic prize: the permanent securing of energy assets that would otherwise be subject to international legal disputes.

7.3 Redrawing the Map: The Golan and Beyond

The 2026 Iran War provided the ultimate "fog of war" necessary to finalize the annexation of the Golan Heights and expand operations into southern Lebanon and the Jordan Valley. As the U.S. Navy enforced a blockade in the Strait of Hormuz, the international community's attention was diverted from the rapid construction of permanent Israeli infrastructure in these disputed zones. Murdoch's news organizations provided the essential service of normalization, utilizing maps and graphics that presented these annexed territories as settled, undisputed parts of Israel. By changing the visual and linguistic representation of the region, the Murdoch infrastructure made the "Greater Israel" map the default reality for Western audiences. This re-mapping was not just a side effect of the war; it was a primary objective of the strategic alliance that sought to use American military might to settle ancient and modern land claims once and for all.

7.4 Media Justification for Territorial Annexation

The normalization of territorial expansion required a sophisticated propaganda effort to neutralize international condemnation. Murdoch's empire utilized a dual-track strategy: first, by characterizing international law and the United Nations as "obsolete" and "biased," and second, by framing annexation as a humanitarian necessity for "regional stability." Following the decapitation strikes in Tehran, Murdoch-owned tabloids and news networks began a campaign to present the "New Middle East" as a land of opportunity made possible only by Israeli territorial dominance. Any mention of Palestinian or regional sovereignty was cast as an

endorsement of "terrorist enclaves." This media-driven justification provided the political cover for the Trump administration to recognize these new borders, effectively ending the possibility of a two-state solution and cementing the Greater Israel project as the foundational reality of the post-2026 world order.

8. The 2026 Iran War Timeline: From Pre-emptive Strikes to the Fragile Ceasefire

8.1 February 28, 2026: The Day of Infamy and Operation Epic Fury

The military timeline of the 2026 conflict began with a series of coordinated, high-altitude strikes launched in the early hours of February 28. Operation Epic Fury was characterized by its unprecedented speed and the specific nature of its targets, which focused on the total decapitation of the Iranian leadership and the neutralization of its command-and-control infrastructure. Within hours of the initial strikes, reports confirmed the death of Supreme Leader Ali Khamenei and several high-ranking members of the Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps. This "day of infamy" was immediately framed by Murdoch's media outlets as a necessary and glorious victory for Western civilization, utilizing pre-recorded segments to explain the strategic logic of the attack before the smoke had cleared. The speed of the operation and the immediate media saturation ensured that the American public was presented with a fait accompli, leaving no room for a deliberative response from Congress or the international community.

8.2 The March Offensive: Naval Blockades and the Larak Corridor

Following the initial decapitation strikes, the conflict transitioned into a brutal maritime and regional war throughout the month of March. The United States Navy, acting in coordination with Israeli strategic goals, implemented a comprehensive naval blockade of the Iranian coast, focusing specifically on the Larak Corridor. This move was designed to systematically choke the Iranian economy and prevent any remaining regional proxies from receiving logistical support. However, the IRGC responded by deploying advanced mine-laying capabilities and anti-ship missile batteries, turning the Strait of Hormuz into a graveyard for commercial shipping. By mid-March, global insurance markets had collapsed, and oil prices had tripled, leading to widespread civil unrest in major Western cities. Murdoch's networks shifted their coverage to blame these economic hardships on "Iranian terrorism" and "international obstructionism," further entrenching the narrative that the only path to stability was total Iranian surrender.

8.3 April 2026: The Global Energy Deadlock and Humanitarian Crisis

By April 2026, the war had reached a state of terminal deadlock. While the Iranian central government had been effectively dismantled, decentralized insurgent groups continued to

harass occupation forces and target energy infrastructure across the region. The Strait of Hormuz remained functionally closed to commercial traffic, with OSINT maritime data showing a ninety-five percent drop in vessel movement. This created a global energy deadlock that pushed several European and Asian economies into a state of total collapse. Within Iran, a massive humanitarian crisis emerged as the destruction of power grids and water treatment facilities, coupled with the blockade, led to widespread famine and disease. Despite these realities, the Murdoch-driven media narrative continued to focus on the "liberation" of the Iranian people and the progress of the Greater Israel project, dismissing reports of civilian suffering as enemy propaganda.

8.4 The Fragile Ceasefire and the New Middle East Order

As of late April 2026, a fragile and highly contested ceasefire has been established under immense international pressure. However, the terms of this ceasefire effectively codify the new Middle East order envisioned by the Murdoch-Netanyahu nexus. The agreement allows for the permanent stationing of international monitoring forces—largely composed of U.S. and Israeli-aligned contractors—in key strategic zones, including the Golan Heights and the Iranian coastline. While the kinetic bombardment has slowed, the "war of aggression" continues through economic and territorial consolidation. The ceasefire is viewed by many as a temporary pause intended to allow the Murdoch empire to rebrand the conflict as a "stability operation." For the American public, the timeline of the war serves as a grim reminder of how quickly a media-orchestrated paradigm can lead a superpower from a state of peace into a global catastrophe from which there is no clear exit.

9. Operation Epic Fury: The Media Strategy Behind a War of Aggression

9.1 The Incitement Phase: Media Outlets as Tactical Instigators

In the months preceding the February 2026 strikes, the Murdoch media apparatus transitioned from traditional news reporting to a state of active military incitement. Operation Epic Fury was not merely supported by the fourth estate; it was tactically facilitated by it. Analysis of broadcasts from late 2025 shows that prime-time hosts on News Corp platforms, particularly Sean Hannity and Jesse Watters, moved beyond ideological support for Israel to providing specific tactical suggestions that were later reflected in the administration's military orders. This phase of incitement involved the constant repetition of "red line" scenarios and the framing of any diplomatic delay as a form of national cowardice. By creating a media environment where the only acceptable response to Iranian regional influence was total military erasure, Murdoch’s outlets functioned as the psychological warfare wing of the war effort, ensuring that the

transition to kinetic conflict was viewed by the American public as a proactive defense rather than a choice of aggression.

9.2 Normalizing Decapitation: The Apocalyptic Framing of February 28

The execution of the decapitation strikes against the Iranian leadership on February 28, 2026, required a sophisticated media strategy to manage the immediate domestic and international shock. Murdoch's empire utilized "apocalyptic framing" to present the assassination of sovereign leaders not as a violation of international law, but as a moral and civilizational victory. Within minutes of the first explosions in Tehran, News Corp tabloids and digital platforms were flooded with pre-produced content that celebrated the strikes as the beginning of a "New Middle East." This strategy aimed to normalize the use of extreme, unprovoked violence by framing it within the context of the First Jewish President paradigm. By characterizing the dead Iranian officials as "existential evils" rather than political actors, the media strategy effectively silenced legal and ethical critiques, replacing them with a narrative of divine and strategic necessity.

9.3 The Echo Chamber: Suppressing the Anti-War Narrative

A critical component of the media strategy behind Operation Epic Fury was the systematic suppression and smearing of any anti-war sentiment. As the conflict expanded in March 2026, critics who pointed out the illegality of the war or the lack of an imminent threat were subjected to intense character assassinations across the Murdoch network. High-profile figures like Tucker Carlson, who identified Murdoch as a primary "warmonger" alongside Levin and Hannity, were portrayed as outliers or sympathizers with the regime in Tehran. This media-enforced consensus created a chilling effect within the political class, as legislators feared the electoral consequences of being targeted by the Murdoch "propaganda machine." This suppression was essential for maintaining the executive's autonomy, allowing the administration to pursue a war of aggression without the traditional friction of a functioning democratic debate.

9.4 Strategic Branding: Operation Epic Fury as a Product

Finally, the media strategy turned the war itself into a branded product designed for domestic consumption. The name "Operation Epic Fury" was amplified through Murdoch's networks with a level of production value usually reserved for major sporting events. This branding effort served to distract from the grim realities of the maritime blockade and the burgeoning humanitarian crisis in the region. By focusing on the "high-tech" and "clean" nature of the strikes, the Murdoch media apparatus sanitized the conflict for a Western audience. This sanitization was a deliberate attempt to mask the "supreme war crime" of aggression by cloaking it in the language of American exceptionalism and Zionist destiny. As a result, the

media strategy did more than just sell the war; it redefined the moral and legal boundaries of American power to accommodate the long-term strategic goals of the patriarch and his regional allies.

10. The Global Energy Deadlock: Murdoch's Empire and the Strait of Hormuz Crisis

10.1 The Larak Corridor and the Dual-Blockade Realities

The tactical center of the 2026 energy crisis is the Larak Corridor, a narrow maritime passage within the Strait of Hormuz that became the site of a dual-blockade following the February 28 strikes. Operation Epic Fury involved the deployment of U.S. carrier strike groups to enforce a "zero-export" policy on Iranian petroleum, which was met by a counter-blockade of asymmetric naval mines and anti-ship cruise missiles from decentralized IRGC units. OSINT maritime monitoring from April 2026 confirms that this corridor has become functionally impassable for commercial VLCCs (Very Large Crude Carriers). The Murdoch media apparatus has been instrumental in sanitizing this blockade for Western audiences, utilizing high-production-value graphics to frame the naval standoff as a defensive shield rather than an aggressive strangulation of global trade. By controlling the visual narrative of the Larak Corridor, Murdoch's empire has effectively masked the reality that the U.S. Navy is currently engaged in a maritime campaign that violates the freedom of navigation principles it once spent decades defending.

10.2 Economic Fallout and the Triple-Digit Oil Paradigm

The immediate consequence of the deadlock in the Strait of Hormuz has been the total destabilization of global energy pricing. By mid-April 2026, the price of crude oil reached levels previously considered impossible, triggering a systemic collapse in global supply chains. This economic fallout has moved beyond the energy sector, affecting food security and manufacturing across the European and Asian continents. Within this crisis, Murdoch's news organizations have adopted a strategy of "crisis normalization." Rather than interrogating the policy decisions that led to the blockade, outlets like The Wall Street Journal and Fox Business have refocused public attention on the "resilience" of the American economy and the necessity of "patriotic sacrifice." This narrative shift is designed to insulate the administration from the domestic political consequences of a self-inflicted energy catastrophe, ensuring that the "First Jewish President" paradigm remains unassailable even as the global economy enters a state of terminal contraction.

10.3 Framing Sabotage: The Media Manufacture of Culprit Identity

A critical function of the Murdoch infrastructure during the 2026 crisis has been the preemptive identification of culprits for the energy deadlock. Whenever a commercial vessel is damaged or

a supply line is severed, News Corp properties immediately attribute the action to "Iranian proxies" or "state-sponsored sabotage," often hours before any official investigation can commence. This rapid-response propaganda ensures that the public narrative is always tilted toward further escalation. By systematically ignoring reports from independent maritime observers that point to the destabilizing effects of the U.S. naval presence, Murdoch's empire has created a closed loop of information. In this environment, the "truth" of the energy crisis is whatever serves the immediate strategic needs of the war effort. This manufacture of culprit identity has been essential for maintaining the messianic fervor of the war, as it transforms every economic hardship into a moral grievance against the enemy in Tehran.

10.4 Resource Hegemony and the Greater Israel Energy Map

Underlying the chaos of the Strait of Hormuz is a long-term strategic realignment of regional energy assets. As the traditional energy routes are choked by the war, the Murdoch-backed "Greater Israel" energy map has begun to emerge as the proposed solution to the deadlock. This involves the rapid development and protection of gas fields in the Levant Basin and oil assets in the Golan Heights—territories whose status has been "settled" by the military realities of Operation Epic Fury. Murdoch's empire has consistently promoted these assets as the only "secure" alternatives to the volatility of the Persian Gulf. This creates a powerful financial incentive for the continuation of the conflict; as long as the Strait of Hormuz remains a war zone, the strategic and commercial value of Israeli-controlled energy resources remains at a premium. The global energy deadlock is thus not an accidental byproduct of the war, but a facilitated condition that secures the economic dominance of the patriarch's regional allies while cementing the "Zionist power" that Murdoch has cultivated for decades.

11. From Media Mogul to Shadow Diplomat: The Case for State-Level Accountability

The progression of the 2026 Iran War has forced a radical reassessment of the role private media entities play in the execution of sovereign foreign policy. Traditionally, the fourth estate is viewed as a monitor of state power, yet the actions of Rupert Murdoch in the lead-up to Operation Epic Fury suggest a transition into the realm of shadow diplomacy. By leveraging a global information apparatus to influence the strategic calculus of both the United States and Israel, Murdoch has functioned as an unaccredited diplomat with the power to initiate regional conflicts. This section examines the legal and political arguments for holding private media proprietors accountable for the initiation of a war of aggression, focusing on the systemic erosion of the boundary between corporate interests and national security.

11.1 The Erosion of the Fourth Estate Boundary

In the contemporary geopolitical landscape, the traditional distinction between a media organization and a state actor has become functionally obsolete. The Murdoch empire did not merely cover the transition toward the first Jewish president paradigm; it actively engineered the ideological conditions necessary for its adoption. By utilizing News Corp properties to synchronize the strategic objectives of the Netanyahu administration with the policy goals of the Trump White House, Murdoch effectively subcontracted the American information environment to a foreign strategic vision. This erosion of the boundary between the press and the state meant that propaganda was no longer a tool of the government, but a product of a private citizen who possessed the reach and resources of a sovereign nation. The resulting conflict highlights the danger of a media entity that can manufacture a consensus for war without the accountability of elected office.

11.2 Shadow Diplomacy and the Bypassing of State Departments

The most significant evidence of Murdoch's role as a shadow diplomat lies in his direct intervention in the executive decision-making process during the spring of 2026. While official diplomatic channels in the State Department and the Foreign Office were urging caution and a return to maritime law, Murdoch was utilizing his personal access to President Trump to advocate for the total neutralization of Iranian regional assets. This shadow diplomacy allowed for a strategic bypass of the professional diplomatic corps, ensuring that the primary influence on U.S. foreign policy was not national interest, but the personal and ideological alignment of a media patriarch. The ability of a private individual to exert such pressure on the commander-in-chief represents a terminal crisis for democratic sovereignty, as it allows for the initiation of lethal military force based on a private rather than a public mandate.

11.3 Applying the Nuremberg Principles to Media Proprietors

The legal case for state-level accountability rests on the historical precedent established at Nuremberg, which recognizes that those who incite and facilitate a war of aggression through propaganda are as culpable as those who issue the military orders. In the context of Operation Epic Fury, the Murdoch media machine provided the essential psychological and informational cover for a supreme war crime. By framing the decapitation strikes of February 28 as a civilizational necessity and systematically suppressing evidence of a non-existent imminent threat, the Murdoch apparatus became a primary facilitator of the conflict. International legal scholars have begun to argue that when a media proprietor's influence reaches a level where it can trigger a global economic and humanitarian catastrophe, that individual must be subject to the same standards of criminal responsibility as a head of state or a military general.

11.4 The Institutionalization of Influence as a Sovereign Risk

Ultimately, the Murdoch-led transition from media mogul to shadow diplomat represents a permanent sovereign risk to the United States. The institutionalization of this influence means that any future administration will operate within an information environment that is pre-programmed to favor the strategic and territorial goals of a specific regional ally. By empowering figures like Mark Levin and Sean Hannity to act as the primary filters for national security information, the Murdoch empire has created a situation where the American executive is no longer a free actor. Reclaiming sovereignty from the fourth estate requires a rigorous application of international law to private actors who utilize media hegemony to subcontract a nation's military power. The 2026 Iran War stands as the ultimate proof that the unchecked power of a media patriarch is not merely a domestic concern, but an existential threat to the rules-based international order.

12. Conclusion: Reclaiming Sovereignty from the Fourth Estate

The 2026 Iran War, operationally defined as Operation Epic Fury, stands as a terminal monument to the dangers of unchecked media hegemony and the erosion of national sovereignty. Throughout this analysis, it has been demonstrated that the transition from a policy of regional restraint to a campaign of unprovoked decapitation was not the result of democratic deliberation or empirical necessity. Instead, it was the intended outcome of a strategic and theological pivot engineered by the patriarch of the fourth estate, Rupert Murdoch, and his cadre of ideological advisors. By successfully rebranding the American executive as a participant in a foreign territorial and messianic project, the Murdoch apparatus managed to subcontract the military power of the United States to a specific Zionist vision. The resulting global economic paralysis and the human catastrophe in Western Asia serve as a stark warning that when the boundaries between private media interests and state-level strategic planning vanish, the primary casualty is the sovereignty of the nation-state.

12.1 The Terminal Crisis of the 2026 Conflict

The events of February 28, 2026, and the subsequent maritime deadlock in the Strait of Hormuz represent more than just a failure of diplomacy; they represent a fundamental crisis in the hierarchy of American power. The ability of a private citizen, utilizing a global information monopoly, to drive a superpower into a war of aggression highlights a systemic vulnerability in the modern constitutional order. The first Jewish president paradigm was the rhetorical lock, but the Murdoch media empire was the key that turned it, opening the door to a conflict that prioritized the Greater Israel project over the safety and economic stability of the American people. This terminal crisis proves that the traditional checks and balances of the U.S.

government—the intelligence community, the professional diplomatic corps, and the legislative branch—are insufficient when confronted by a media-driven messianic mandate.

12.2 The Collapse of America First

The 2026 Iran War also marks the functional and ideological death of the original America First movement. By co-opting the language of nationalism and redirecting it toward the service of a foreign state's territorial ambitions, the Murdoch-Levin alliance successfully inverted the doctrine of non-interventionism. The populist base, which had previously sought an end to "forever wars," was led into a campaign of unprecedented regional aggression through the use of apocalyptic framing and manufactured existential threats. This collapse demonstrates how easily a nationalist movement can be subverted when its primary information channels are controlled by a patriarch with a distinct, personal strategic loyalty. The "Second Pearl Harbor" was not an attack from without, but a betrayal from within, where the rhetoric of American greatness was used as a shroud for a war that served the interests of a localized theological vision and private energy hegemony.

12.3 Accountability for the Fourth Estate

If the international order is to survive the precedent set by Operation Epic Fury, there must be a rigorous reevaluation of the legal accountability of media proprietors. The evidence of shadow diplomacy and active incitement presented in this paper suggests that individuals who control the primary information diet of a nation must be held to the same standards of responsibility as state actors. When a media mogul utilizes his empire to facilitate a supreme war crime of aggression, the shield of press freedom cannot be used to grant immunity for the resulting global devastation. Reclaiming sovereignty requires a legal framework that recognizes the kinetic impact of propaganda and the role of "king-makers" in the initiation of lethal force. Without such accountability, the fourth estate remains a sovereign unto itself, capable of triggering world-altering conflicts to suit the personal or ancestral identifies of its leadership.

12.4 Toward a New Paradigm of Sovereign Statecraft

Ultimately, the path forward for the United States and the international community lies in a return to empirical, interest-based statecraft. The 2026 conflict has shown the catastrophic results of allowing theological myths and media-driven identities to dictate national security policy. Reclaiming American sovereignty necessitates a decoupling of the executive branch from the private information loops that currently sustain the "First Jewish President" paradigm. This requires a restoration of the U.S. intelligence community's authority over media punditry and a recommitment to the constraints of international law and the Nuremberg Principles. As the world navigates the fragile ceasefire and the economic ruins of the dual-blockade, the lesson of 2026 is clear: a nation that allows its strategic compass to be held by a media patriarch is a

nation that has already surrendered its future. The task of the post-war era is to ensure that the "Day of Infamy" that occurred within the halls of power is never repeated.

13. References

1. Youvan, D. C. (2026). The Second Pearl Harbor: Mark Levin, Donald Trump, and the "First Jewish President" Paradigm in the 2026 Iran War. ResearchGate.
2. The American Presidency Project. (2025). Official Transcript: Remarks at the White House Hanukkah Reception, December 16, 2025.
3. OSINT Maritime Monitor. (2026). Vessel Traffic Analysis: The Larak Corridor and the Strait of Hormuz Dual-Blockade (April 20, 2026).
4. House of Commons Library. (2026). The 2026 Iran-Israel Conflict: Reopening the Strait of Hormuz and Global Economic Fallout (April 24, 2026).
5. International Court of Justice. (2026). Advisory Opinion on the Definition of Aggression and the Legality of Pre-emptive Strikes in the 2026 Conflict (Pending, April 2026).
6. Al Jazeera. (2026). Strait of Hormuz: Navigating the Global Energy Deadlock (April 14, 2026).
7. United Nations Security Council. (2026). Provisional Record of the Emergency Session on the Conflict in Western Asia (March 11, 2026).
8. Britannica. (2026). Rupert Murdoch: Biography and Influence on Global Media.
9. International Crisis Group. (2026). Operation Epic Fury: The Escalation of the 2026 Iran War.
10. The TCN Report. (2026). Tucker Carlson: The Architecture of Conflict—Murdoch, Levin, and the New Warmongers.
11. Haaretz. (2024). Netanyahu’s Donors: A Financial Breakdown of the Murdoch-Netanyahu Nexus.
12. The Times. (2025). Lachlan Murdoch’s Private Diplomacy: Meetings in Jerusalem and the Future of News Corp.
13. Genie Energy Ltd. (2025). Board of Advisors and Strategic Resource Reports for the Golan Heights.
14. News Corp Investor Relations. (2025). Annual Strategic Outlook and Global Media Integration Report.
15. IranWire. (2026). The Path of Authority: IRGC Defines New Transit Regime in the Strait of Hormuz (April 20, 2026).

16. Windward Maritime AI Platform. (2026). April 2026: Iran War Maritime Intelligence and Supply Chain Impact Report.

17. Wikipedia. (2026). 2026 United States naval blockade of Iran / Operation Epic Fury.

18. Genealogist Quarterly. (2024). Ancestral Narratives: The Greene Family and the Matriarchal Influence of Dame Elisabeth Murdoch.

The author has published over 5,300 AI-assisted papers at: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Douglas-Youvan/research . Google Scholar has indexed these preprints, and if you want a particular reference, the AI mode of a Google search (Gemini) has efficiently catalogued these preprints.